

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nsyolo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                              | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/989,479           | 11/20/2001                               | Todd D. Graham       | 1048-024            | 1151             |
| 80360<br>Bainwood Hu | 7590 01/12/2009<br>ang & Associates, LLC | EXAM                 | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 2 Connector R        | oad                                      | CHANKONG, DOHM       |                     |                  |
| Westborough,         | MA 01581                                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                      |  |                      | 2452                |                  |
|                      |  |                      |                     |                  |
|                      |  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                      |  |                      | 01/12/2009          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī          | Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |  |
|------------|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 09/989,479 |                 | GRAHAM ET AL. |  |  |
|            | Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |  |
|            | DOHM CHANKONG   | 2452          |  |  |
|            |                 |               |  |  |

|  | DOHM CHANKONG   | 2452  |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | orrespondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR   | R ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| <ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C<br/>periods:</li> </ol>   | the same day as filing a Notice of A<br>eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the<br>(3) a Request         |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing   | date of the final rejection.  |   |  |
| b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I<br>MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f  | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE   | date of the final rejection   | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of submidled from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.   | ension and the corresponding amount on<br>nortened statutory period for reply origi                               | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic                 | ite extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal was filed on | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |   |   |  |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better  | sideration and/or search (see NOT<br>v);  | E below);   |  |
| appeal; and/or   | er form for appeal by materially rec  | lucing or simplifying tr  | ie issues for                            |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c   | orresponding number of finally reje   | cted claims.  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>  |   | mpliant Amendment (F  | PTOL-324).                               |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>   |   |   |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  |   | *   |  |
| <ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>   |   | be entered and an ex  | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) allowed:<br>Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | l and/or appellant fails  | to provide a                             |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>   | of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attache  | ed.                                      |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br/>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>  | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowand  | ce because:                              |
| 12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |  |
| 13. Other:   |   |   |  |
|  | /Dohm Chankong/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2452  |   |  |

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the prior art references cannot be combined because they are fundamentally incompatible with one another. Applicant's argument is based primarily on its unfounded assumption that Rabne teaches that permission rules are "embedded" within the RNc browser. Applicant argues that contrary to Rabne, OBFerin discloses a policy" as a set for fulse identifying which computing resources 106 the browser is alloed to access." Trus, Applicant concludes that to combine Rabne and O'Brien would require extracting rules from the RNc browser. Applicant's argument is not persuasive because Rabne does not teach rules embedded within the RNc browser.

Specifically, Rabne discloses "[the RM server maintains and processes rule-based intellectual property permissions" and like O'Brien, Rabine taches transmitting the rules and permissions from a server to the client to restrain the brower's activity based on the rules [column 8 "lines 11-19"]. This teaching is comparable to O'Brien's teaching of transmitting security policies from a server to a client to restrict the browser's activity based on the policy. For this reason, Applicant's argument is not persuasive and the rejection as set forth in the previous action is maintained.